



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,011	04/04/2000	Kiyoshi Washino	AIA-117	5710

7590 01/24/2002

Monica Millner
Rader Fishman & Grauer PLLC
1233 20th Street NW
Suite 501
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

DINH, TUAN T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/543,011

Applicant(s)

WASHINO ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan T Dinh

Art Unit

2841

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2001.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification is silent regarding "a **remaining one** of the first locking member, line 3".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-8, 21, 23, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cox et al (U. S. Patent 6,191,950 B1).

As to claims 1 and 25, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card (9, column 2, line 61) as shown in figures 2-3 comprising:

a frame (26, column 2, line 61) fabricated from an injection molding material (column 2, lines 5-7) and defining an internal space (34, column 4, line 3) of an IC card (9);

a first panel (14, column 2, line 64) integrated with the frame (26) having a first locking member (23, 23', column 3, line 16) which includes a portion that is embedded (28-figure 3) and held in the frame, where a front end of the first locking member projects out from the implanted portion (28) toward the internal space (34) from an inner wall of the frame (see figure 2); and

a second panel (10, column 2, line 63)) having a second locking member (16, column 2, line 65) which is engageable with the first locking member (23) of the first panel inside the frame.

As to claims 2 and 26, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2-3 wherein the first panel is integrated with the frame by simultaneous molding (column 2, lines 5-7).

As best understood to claims 3, 23, and 27, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the first locking member has a locking claw (see figure 3) engaging to the hole (22) of the second locking member.

As to claim 4, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the second locking member is composed of an elastic member (see figure 3).

As to claims 5 and 28, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein edges of the first panel and the second panel are contained in the frame.

As to claims 7 and 29, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the frame has an outer surface exposed toward an outside of the IC card (see figure 2) to form a side surface in a direction in which the IC card is inserted.

As to claims 8 and 30, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figure 1 wherein an erroneous-insertion-preventing key (108-figure 1, column 1, line 36) is prevented the IC card when inserted in a wrong posture into an IC card slot forming on the outer surface of the frame. The first panel is integrated with the frame in a state where an area where the erroneous-insertion-preventing key is formed is ensured.

As to claim 21, Cox discloses a frame kit as shown in figure 1 wherein said key has a width differs along an outer surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-18, 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (U. S. Patent 6,191,950).

As to claims 11, 16, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card (9, column 2, line 61) as shown in figures 2-3 comprising:

a frame (26, column 2, line 61) fabricated from an injection molding (column 2, lines 5-7) and defining an internal space (34, column 4, line 3) of an IC card (9);

a first panel (14, column 2, line 64) integral with an embedded into the frame (26) having a first locking member (23, 23', column 3, line 16) which includes a portion that is implanted (28-figure 3) and held in the frame, where a front end of the first locking member projects out from the implanted portion (28) toward the internal space (34) from an inner wall of the frame (see figure 2);

a second panel (10, column 2, line 63)) having a second locking member (16, column 2, line 65) which is engageable with the first locking member (23) of the first panel inside the frame; and

a circuit board assembly (12) being contained between the first and second panels (10, 14) constructed by mounting a connector (44) on the circuit board.

Cox does not disclose the second locking member comprising a guide portion extending obliquely toward an internal space.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the guide portion extending obliquely as taught by Cox in order to protect a circuit board against abnormal vibration or shock.

As to claim 12, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2-3 wherein the first panel is integrated with the frame by simultaneous molding (column 2, lines 5-7).

As best understood to claim 13, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the first locking member has a locking claw (see figure 3) engaging to the hole (22) of the second locking member.

As to claim 14, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the second locking member is composed of an elastic member (see figure 3).

As to claim 15, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein edges of the first panel and the second panel are contained in the frame.

As to claim 17, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figures 2 and 3 wherein the frame has an outer surface exposed toward an outside of the IC card (see figure 2) to form a side surface in a direction in which the IC card is inserted.

As to claim 18, Cox discloses a frame kit and an IC card as shown in figure 1 wherein an erroneous-insertion-preventing key (108-figure 1, column 1, line 36) is prevented the IC card when inserted in a wrong posture into an IC card slot forming on the outer surface of the frame. The first panel is integrated with the frame in a state where an area where the erroneous-insertion-preventing key is formed is ensured.

As to claim 22, Cox discloses a frame kit as shown in figure 1 wherein said key has a width differs along an outer surface.

As to claim 24, Cox discloses a frame kit as shown in figures 2-3 wherein the second locking member further comprising a guiding portion (see figure 3) having an engaging hole (22).

Claims 9-10 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox in view of Feldman (U. S. Patent 5,548,483).

As to claims 9-10 and 19-20, Cox discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention, except for a second panel having a positioning member, which is elastic

Art Unit: 2841

abutted against an inner wall of the frame for positioning the second panel with respect to the frame.

Feldman shows a frameless IC card (10) as shown in figures 10-115 comprising a second panel (42) having a positioning member (110), which is elastic abutted against an inner wall (104).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the frame kit of Cox and provide the positioning member as taught by Feldman in order to engage to a frame of a IC card.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/17/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Cox ('950) fails to teach:

- (1) a frame fabricated from an injection molding material.
- (2). first locking member which includes a portion that is embedded and held in the frame.

Examiner disagrees.

Regarding argument (1), Cox teaches a frame fabricated from an injection molding material (column 2, lines 5-7).

Regarding argument (2), Cox shows first locking member (23) included a portion that is embedded (28) and held in the frame (disclosed in figure 3).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mosquera et al. disclose related art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-308-3431 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/543,011

Page 9

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD

January 14, 2002



Jayprakash N. Gandhi
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800